RECEIPT #

08-01789-cgm Doc 2754-1 Filed 07/12/10 Entered 07/15/10 15:02:52 Sheet Pg 1 of 40 CIVIL COVER SHEET The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	STRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF T		DEFENDANTS	metaluli 17 FII S	<b>3:</b> U1
amar Ellis et al(In F	ma Pampais)		Bernard L. Mado	iretai:.	
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff Orange XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	PIVE	County of Residence of  NOTE: IN LAND LAND IN	First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES CONDEMNATION CASES, US (VOLVED.	New York  ONLY) BE THE LOCATION OF THE
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name	, Address, and Telephone Number	IIL 12 2010	'10 CV 1 2		Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintifi
II. BASIS OF JURISD	OICTION (Place an "X" in One Box	U.S. BANKA HEW	(For Diversity Cases Only)		and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Nota Party	V S DIST	(For Diversity Cases Only) Citizen of This State		incipal Place
2 U.S. Government Defendant	■ 4 Diversity  (Indicate Citizenship of Partic	i	Citizen of Another State	2 2 Incorporated and I of Business In A	Another State
Defendan	(Indicate Chizenship of Faile		Citizen or Subject of a  Foreign Country	3 G 3 Foreign Nation	06 06
	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
CONTRACT  110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise  REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	PERSONAL INJURY   PER   310 Airplane   362   362   315 Airplane Product   Liability   365   320 Assault, Libel & Slander   330 Federal Employers'   Liability   340 Marine   PERSO   345 Marine Product   370   370   355 Motor Vehicle   755 Motor V	Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice Personal Injury - Product Liability Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability ONAL PROPERTY Other Fraud Truth in Lending Other Personal Property Damage Property Damage Property Damage Property Damage Product Liability ONER PETITIONS Motions to Vacate Sentence Deas Corpus: General Death Penalty Mandamus & Other Civil Rights Prison Condition	610 Agriculture   620 Other Food & Drug   625 Drug Related Seizure   625 Drug Related Seizure   630 Liquor Laws   640 R.R. & Truck   650 Airline Regs.   660 Occupational   Safety/Health   690 Other   LABOR   710 Fair Labor Standards   Act	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157  PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark  SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUTS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	400 State Reapportionment   410 Antitrust   430 Banks and Banking   450 Commerce   460 Deportation   470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations   480 Consumer Credit   490 Cable/Sat TV   810 Selective Service   850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange   875 Customer Challenge   12 USC 3410   890 Other Stantory Actions   891 Agricultural Acts   892 Economic Stabilization Act   893 Environmental Matters   894 Energy Allocation Act   895 Freedom of Information Act   900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice   950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
SP1 Original   17 P	Comoved nom	to Count	Reinstated or	ferred from 6 Multidis cr district Litigation fy)  ai statutes unless diversity):	trict
VI. CAUSE OF ACT	ION Brief description of cause:		d Embezzlement		
VII. REQUESTED II COMPLAINT:	N Z CHECK IF THIS IS A C UNDER F.R.C.P. 23		DEMAND SJ 3, OCK, C	JURY DEMAN	y if demanded in complaint: D:   Yes   No
VIII. RELATED CA IF ANY	(Gee management). JUDG			DOCKET NUMBER (	08-1789 (BRL)
DATE 04/19/2008	sic Inc	SNATURE OF ATTOR losed attachme	ents demonstrates Pla	intiffs' relationship to	docket # 08-1789 (BRL)
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	AMOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. J	TUDGE

2210 JUN 17 PH 3: 01 1 Lamar Ellis et al. (In Francis Properts 1372 Femlake Avenue 2 Brea, CA 92821 (909) 623-2247 3 5 6 7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT** 8 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 LAMAR ELLIS (In Forms Pauseris) DR LAMAR ELLIS CHARITABLE REMAINDER TRUST 12 LAMAR ELLIS' TRUST LAMAR ELLIS REVOCABLE TRUST 13 LAMELLI LTD PARTNERSHIP AND ENERGETIC PSYCHOANALYTIC 14 INSTITUTE AND TRAINING SCHOOL INC. **PLAINTIFFS** 15 10 CV 1 295 H 16 -V-17 . 18 BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT SECURITIES LLC, 19 PIONEER INVESTMENT FIRM AMSOUTH BANK 20 REGIONS BANK DEPOSIT GUARANTY NATIONAL BANK 21 UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION 22 THADARINE MCINTOSH MOODY RATING AGENCY 23 FITCH RATING LTD STANDARD AND POOR'S RATING AGENCY 24 DEFENDANTS 25 COMPLAINT FOR CONVERSION AND EMBEZZELMENT 26 27

Plaintiffs allege:

- 1. Lamar Ellis is a resident of the State of California and the trustor of Dr. Lamar Ellis Charitable Remainder Trust who holds tax credits, tax shelters, R&D LTD. Partnership units, AKA (negative K-1) units and 144 A securities, all of which are associated directly or indirectly with the Plaintiff Dr. Lamar Ellis Charitable Remainder Trust and to each is owed an obligation and for each the rights are hereby assigned to him for prosecution of this action.
- Energetic Psychoanalytic Institute was a tax exempt non- profit organization until 1978 then duly 2. organized under the laws of the State of California, with Lamar Ellis as the President of the same. The Institute was reorganized in 1979 under the laws of the State of Mississippi for medical research purposes and the production of durable medical equipment/pharmaceutical manufacturing through Ellis Pharmaceutical Manufacturing Company Inc. of Jackson Mississippi.
- Lamelli Ltd. Partnership is a partnership organized under the laws of the State of Mississippi, of which 3. Lamar Ellis was a general partner, first in 1992 through 1999. Then reorganized under the laws of the State of Mississippi in 2010.
- 4. At all times, herein the remaining named Plaintiffs were created under the laws of the United States and had a vested interest in the financial instruments which have given rise to this cause of action.
- 5. THADARINE MCINTOSH, a US citizen who resides in the state of California and who has claimed a vested interest in the Plaintiffs' financial instruments. In 2004 it was discovered by the Plaintiffs that Ms. McIntosh was actively trying to sell some of the Plaintiffs' R&D tax credits without the Plaintiffs' permission. It is believed that the Defendant used some of these credits to reduce Defendant's state and federal tax liabilities on earned income.
- PIONEER INVESTMENT FIRM was a company authorized to do and doing business in the United States with the purpose of advising and or investing in various companies throughout the United States in 2005.
- DEPOSIT GUARANTY NATIONAL BANK is a financial institution organized under the laws of the United 7. States and the State of Mississippi and was doing business in the United States with the responsibility of receiving and safekeeping funds and other securities for the benefit of its customers in 1995.
- AMSOUTH BANK is a financial institution organized under the laws of the United States and was doing business in the United States with the responsibility of receiving and safekeeping funds and other securities for the benefit of its customers, is a financial institution organized under the laws of the United States and is situated in

Birmingham, Alabama. AMSOUTH based upon information and belief purchased Deposit Guaranty National Bank in 2000.

- 9. REGIONS BANK is a financial institution organized under the laws of the United States and was doing business in the United States with the responsibility of receiving and safekeeping funds and other securities for the benefit of its customers and purchased AMSOUTH and its assets.
- 10. UNITED STATES SECURITES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION is an agency of the United States with the primary purpose of overseeing and regulating securities and other financial instruments and based upon information apparently approved the trading of assets owned or in which the Plaintiffs maintained an interest without the Plaintiffs' permission.
- 11. BERNARD L. MADOFF Investment Securities, LLC was an investment firm operating out of New York and other portions of the United State and apparently had possession of assets at the time of the seizure associated with the investigation of Bernard Madoff.
  - 12. MOODY RATING AGENCY- Plaintiffs believes it rated their securities without their permission.
  - 13. FITCH RATING AGENCY- Plaintiffs believes it rated their securities without their permission.
- 14. STANDARD AND POORS RATING AGENCY- Plaintiffs believes it rated their securities without their permission.

#### JURISDICTION

15. This court has both personal and subject matter jurisdiction over the parties based upon federal question jurisdiction and diversity pursuant to 28 USC1331 and 1332. The parties satisfy both the diversity requirement and exceed the jurisdictional amount necessary to invoke the jurisdiction of this court.

#### **FACTS**

- 16. Lamar Ellis is the trustor of the Dr. Lamar Ellis Charitable Remainder Trust. This trust was created under the laws of the State of Mississippi and was created for the purpose of implementing health care alternative for the State of Mississippi and had an interest in the security interests giving rise to this cause of action
- 17. Dr. Lamar Ellis Charitable Remainder Trust created certain types of 144 A securities and \$13,000,000,000 worth of Bearer Certificates of Deposit Debt Obligations underwritten by 18,500 t/c units and deposited the same with Deposit Guaranty National Bank with the written understanding that their only duty was to hold these instruments and safe keep them until and as further directed.

- In an attempt to liquidate the assets on deposit with Deposit Guaranty National Bank, those that the Mississippi Secretary of State through the Mississippi Security Division had granted permission to issue a 144A type Tax exempt Coupon/Bearer Bond in the amount of \$400,000,000.00, with another \$370,000,000 in tax credits which had been placed with/Deposit Guaranty National Bank in 1995, for safe keeping only until further notification was given.
- 19. Plaintiff Lamar Ellis on behalf of Energetic Inc. signed an agreement with Deposit Guaranty
  National Bank in 1995, that he would have no voice in the management of the bonds issued, and that the bank's
  Trustee's duties were to protect the bond owner (s) from financial harm while in (DGNB) safe keeping.
- 20. It is believed that sometime in the year 2000, defendant AmSouth acquired Deposit Guaranty and thereafter an account was set up at AmSouth Investment Services. The financial instruments were assigned a Cusip number and a Symbol unknown at this time at the direction of AmSouth. On or about May 26 2001 AmSouth announced a merger with Regions Bank. As a result, efforts were made to negotiate liquidation of newly acquired tax credits.
- 21. Identity theft reports were made on October 24, 2003, reports were made to the Internal Revenue Service, the U. S. Treasury, the Securities and Exchange Commission and the FBI along with other federal, State and Local Agencies. Efforts to obtain assistance have been futile even though the Plaintiff and the entities named herein are clearly entitled to the same. At all times herein, the Securities and Exchange Commission knew or should have known that the instruments which are the subject of the cause of action was either the property of or under the ownership of named plaintiffs.
- Thereafter, efforts continued in the negotiation with companies in an effort to partner with them to liquidate the assets. As a result of the negotiations, the entities requested copies of the documents deposited with Deposit Guaranty National Bank and AmSouth Bank.
- 23. Defendant AmSouth, Pioneer Investment Firm and Deposit Guaranty refused and fail to deliver the documents and cause the assets to be converted into financial securities instruments which were traded on the trading medium NASDAQ, all with the knowledge or consent of the Defendants..
- 24. As a result of being unable to produce the documents entrusted to defendants, negotiations ended resulting in a loss of billions of dollars
  - 25. It was later discovered that AmSouth, Bernard Madoff and Pioneer Investments were trading assets

owned by Plaintiffs' without permission

- Defendant SEC was contacted by the Plaintiffs on August 6, 2008 who advised the SEC of an alleged scheme and illegal activities by several of the Defendants. The SEC responded with a letter on August 28, 2008 stating that the SEC would investigate the complaint made by the Plaintiffs. The Plaintiffs has not received any relief as of this filing of complaint from the SEC.
- 27. In 2009, the office of Bernard Madoff was apparently raided by the FBI who contacted the Plaintiffs confirming that AmSouth and or Pioneer Investment was trading Plaintiff's Assets on NASDAQ along with a Guaranteed Tax Shelter Bearer Certificate dated September 9, 2003 and made out to Lamar Ellis Trust, TTEE in the amount of approximately \$1.3 billion dollars. These assets were in the possession of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC.
- . 28. At all times herein, the Plaintiffs were unaware of these transactions, did not authorize the same and received no benefits therefrom.
- 29. It is believed by the Plaintiffs that tax credits, tax shelters and bearer bonds in the amount of approximately 1.3 billion dollars had been compromised by the Defendants and this suspected loss was reported to the IRS via form 4684 from 2002 forward.
- 30. As a result of the negligence, unlawful and reckless conduct of the defendants the Plaintiff has lost or will lose all of the aforementioned tax credits or the equivalent in assets.
- 31. Let it be known that the Plaintiffs have filed an amended IRS form 8283 which shows a \$13,000,000,000 loss to the Plaintiffs.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore Plaintiffs pray for actual and compensatory damages in the amount of \$13,000,000,000.00 and punitive damages in the amount of \$26,000,000,000.from the named defendants, jointly and severally for attorney fees and all other proper and just relief.

Respectfully submitted,

James Elles

Dr. Lamar Ellis

,	PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER/MOVANT'S NAME  2010 JUN 17 PM 2: 59	
2	Don in the same of	
3	24 TEBRIA	
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	) Civil No. 295 H JW	À
11	<b>\</b>	4
12	LAMAR ELLIS et al  REQUEST FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	
13	) ) _	
14	BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT SECURITIES LLC	
15		
16	1. I, the plaintiff in the above-entitled <b>CONVERSION</b> /EMBEZZELMENT:, request that the	е
17	1. I, the plaintiff in the above-entitled <b>CONVENSION</b> EmbEZZEE INSTANCE, is the plaintiff in the above-entitled <b>CONVENSION</b> EmbEZZEE INSTANCE, is the plaintiff in the above-entitled <b>CONVENSION</b> EmbEZZEE INSTANCE, is the plaintiff in the above-entitled <b>CONVENSION</b> EmbEZZEE INSTANCE, is the plaintiff in the above-entitled <b>CONVENSION</b> EmbEZZEE INSTANCE, is the plaintiff in the above-entitled <b>CONVENSION</b> EmbEZZEE INSTANCE, is the plaintiff in the above-entitled <b>CONVENSION</b> EmbEZZEE INSTANCE, is the plaintiff in the above-entitled <b>CONVENSION</b> EmbEZZEE INSTANCE, is the plaintiff in the above-entitled <b>CONVENSION</b> EmbEZZEE INSTANCE, is the plaintiff in the above-entitled <b>CONVENSION</b> EmbEZZEE INSTANCE, is the plaintiff in the above-entitled <b>CONVENSION</b> EmbEZZEE INSTANCE, is the plaintiff in the above-entitled <b>CONVENSION</b> EmbEZZEE INSTANCE, is the plaintiff in the above-entitled <b>CONVENSION</b> EmbEZZEE INSTANCE, is the plaintiff in the above-entitled <b>CONVENSION</b> EmbEZZEE INSTANCE, is the plaintiff in the above-entitled <b>CONVENSION</b> EmbEZZEE INSTANCE, is the plaintiff in the above-entitled <b>CONVENSION</b> EmbEZZEE INSTANCE, is the plaintiff in the above-entitled <b>CONVENSION</b> EmbEZZEE INSTANCE, is the plaintiff in the above-entitled <b>CONVENSION</b> EmbEZZEE INSTANCE IN the plaintiff in the above-entitled <b>CONVENSION</b> EmbEZZEE INSTANCE IN the plaintiff in the above-entitled <b>CONVENSION</b> EmbEZZEE INSTANCE IN the plaintiff in the above-entitled <b>CONVENSION</b> EmbEZZEE INSTANCE IN the plaintiff in the above-entitled <b>CONVENSION</b> EmbEZZEE IN the	s:
18	A. my claim is meritorious (that is, I have a good case), and	
19	B. I have made a reasonably diligent effort to obtain counsel, and	
20	C. I am unable to find an attorney willing to represent me on terms that I can	
22	afford.	
23	2. Attached to this package is:	
24		
25	3. Additional Information	
26		
27		

28

### The First Cause of Action Against Some Defendants

Fraudulent Concealment re: Regarding the fact that the Plaintiffs' total securities were under a permanent SEC injunction due to the actions of Defendants.

- 1. The allegations of this complaint are based on information and beliefs are likely to have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery during the pendency of the action herein that some of the Defendants fraudulent concealment of their actions, and persuaded the SEC to issue an SEC file number and SEC accession number, that allowed the Defendants to register and publicly trade the Plaintiffs' natural securities on NASDAQ.
- 2. If after discovery during review of SEC records and the allegations are found to be true that information will be handed over to Judge Burton R. Lifland of the U.S. Bankruptcy Court Docket no. 08-178 (BRL) and the U.S. Department Of Justice.
- 3. The exception to cause number 2 is Defendant McIntosh. This Defendant discovered information as is described in the Plaintiffs' complaint against McIntosh will be given to the (IRS).
- 4. The Plaintiffs will not seek documents or records from Defendants; Deposit Guaranty Bank, AmSouth Bank, Regions Bank, Bernard L. Madoff, et al.

Lamar Ellis, et al
June 30, 2010

## Under The First Cause of Action and First Sub Cause of Action Against The Defendants

### Under The First Cause of Action and First Sub Cause of Action:

- 1. General damages in an amount to be proven at time of trial.
- 2. Special damages in an amount to be proven at time of trial.
- 3. Punitive and/or exemplary damages in an amount to be proven at time of trial.
- 4. An order requiring the Defendants to identify and turn over all real properties, cash, securities, loans, contributions, and donation records to institutions, payouts of any kind to anyone, and identify all domestic and foreign bank accounts, where the Plaintiffs' assets were hypothecated or used in any manner to create a financial position for the Defendants.

### **Under All Causes of Action:**

- 5. For costs of suit incurred herein.
- For such other and future relief as the Court deems just and proper.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on June 30, 2010 at Brea, California.

Зу\_

Lamar Ellis et al Attorney For Plaintiffs Lamar Ellis, Individual Lamar Ellis, Trust Energetic Institute

Lamar Ellis

08-01789-cgm Doc 2754-1 Filed 07/12/10 Entered 07/15/10 15:02:52 Civil Coværge 1 of 8 SEC Info - Amsouth Mutual Funds - 497 - On 8/26 Sheet Pg 10 of 40 - Please S**ign in** Sign In Help Search My Interests

Amsouth Mutual Funds · 497 · On 8/26/05

Filed On 8/26/05 4:21pm ET · SEC File 33-21660 · Accession Number 898432-5-737

in an "object" Search. Show Docs searched and every "hit". Find

Wildcards: ? (any letter). \* (many). Logic: for Docs: & (and). | (or): for Text: | (anywhere). "(&)" (near). Help...

Issuer As/For/On Docs:Pgs Filing Filer As Of

3/26/05 1:4497 8/26/05 Amsouth Mutual Funds

SEC Info

Home

Definitive Material · Rule 497 Filing Table of Contents

Size Pages Description Document/Exhibit

22K 1: 497 Definitive Material



# Fax Cover Sheet

FedEx Kinko's of Milpitas Telephone: 4	408.946.2500 Fax: 408.946.2599
Date 3/10/04	Number of pages (including cover page)
Name Milannie Ellis	Name Hadaline Me whosh Company
Company inust	Telephone (408) 262-4157
Telephone $(909)623 - 2247$	Telephone 1001000000000000000000000000000000000
Faxi 909 865-3434	
Comments 1 de hélieux me have	finally reached an agreement
& home talked to Albarneys	(St. True) and there and m
one talked to My Tax Man (	Romero and balks were
and the following section is the second of t	Romer Jank talks were Romer Lack talks were das the IRS in Salling
Nore than 1,200 locations worldwide. For the location nearest	you, cali 1.800.254.6567. Visit our website at fedexkinkos.com.
MIGGE CHART 1,200 BOOKS TO THE TELEFORM	

I 2

3

4

5

Defendant LAMAR ELLIS

A house trans local

לצעו ל ו אגו

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

23

22

24 25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SOUTHERN DIVISION

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

VS.

DAVID A. COLVIN; INTELLINET

PUBLISHING, INC.; INTELLINET HOLDING GROUP, INC.; MEDICAL ADVANTAGE, INC.;

LAMELLI, INC. a/k/a LAMELLI MEDICAL

TECHNOLOGY, INC.: WALL STREET

RESEARCH COMPANY, INC.; JOB KJELL

HOVIK; LAMAR ELLIS; etc., et al.,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. SA98-135 AHS (EEx)

Submitted: January 15, 1999 Place: Courtroom of the

Honorable Alicemarie H. Stotler

AMOUNT

RECEIPT#

مَاٰج 44 (Rev. 12/07)

08-01789-cgm Doc 2754-1 Filed 07/12/10 Entered 07/15/10 15:02:52 Civil Cover Sheet Pg 13 of 40 CIVIL COVER SHEET The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating by local rules of court.

T ( ) DI AIMPIEES	m, approved by the Judicial Confession STRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FOR	DEFENDAN	its and and 17 fill	3: 01
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	ROSE	Bernard L.	Madoffet al. UN 17 FI	
amar Ellis et al(In 🖼	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Now York
a) a compaidamen	of First Listed Plaintiff Orange	County of Resid	ence of First Listed Defendant	New York
(b) County of Residence	(CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES	ONL 1)
(		NOTE: I	N LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, U AND INVOLVED.	SE THE BOOKMON OF THE
	EGE	W ill		
(a) Attamost's (Firm Name	, Address, and Telephone Number	Attorneys (If Kr	nown)	1888
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name	IN T	1 2 .5000   \ 1, 10 CA	11295 H	JMA
	10/1 JUL	. ` .		You war in One Boy for Plaintiff
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	BANKEN (For Diversity Cases  Citizen of This State	OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES	
	3 Federal Question U.S.	BANKAN NEW (For Diversity Cases	PTF DEF	PTF DEF
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	of Business In Th	nis State
. //			2 2 Incorporated and	Principal Place 25 5 🗇 5
© 2 U.S. Government	■ 4 Diversity	Citizen of Another State	of Business In	Another State
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Iten		3 3 Foreign Nation	<b>1</b> 6 1 6
		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	U-J	
W. MATTIDE OF SHI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)		TANK THE VIEW OF THE PARTY OF T	OTHER STATUTES
CONTRACT	IOKIS	FORFEITURE/PENA	BANKRUPTCY  0 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	400 State Reapportionment
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL CI 310 Airolane	i Injury - 1 620 Other Food & Dru	g 423 Withdrawal	☐ 410 Antitrust
☐ 120 Marine		alpractice	ure 28 USC 157	430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce
130 Miller Act     140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability 🗇 365 Personal		PROPERTY RIGHTS	460 Deportation
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	320 Assault, 121001 W	The same of the	320 Copyrights	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander 368 Aspesto 330 Federal Employers' Injury F	roduct 650 Airline Regs.	☐ 830 Patent ☐ 840 Trademark	480 Consumer Credit
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability Liability	y 660 Occupational Safety/Health	□ 840 Hademark	☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV
Student Loans	340 Marine Product D 370 Other Fr			810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/
(Excl. Veterans)	345 Marine Product 370 Other FI	Lending LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle 380 Other Po	ersonal	rds 361 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923)	875 Customer Challenge
160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle Property	Damage Act Damage 720 Labor/Mgmt. Rela	ations [ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	12 USC 3410
☐ 190 Other Contract	Product Liability 385 Property 360 Other Personal Product	Liability 730 Labor/Mgmt.Repo	orting D 864 SSID Title XVI	890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	Interv	& Disclosure Act	7 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS	892 Economic Stabilization Ac
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER P			☐ 893 Environmental Matters
210 Land Condemnation	441 Voting 510 Motions	- cot E il Dat Inc	or Defendant)	894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information
220 Foreclosure	☐ 442 Employment Sentenc	J	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	Act
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land	Accommodations 530 General		26 USC 7609	900Appeal of Fee Determinati
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 444 Welfare ☐ 535 Death P	enalty IMMIGRATION nus & Other  462 Naturalization Ap	plication	Under Equal Access
290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐ 540 Mandar Employment ☐ 530 Civil Ri	ghts 463 Habeas Corpus -		to Justice 950 Constitutionality of
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - 555 Prison 6	Condition Alien Detainee	_	State Statutes
	Other	465 Other Immigration Actions	<sup>u</sup>	
	440 Other Civil Rights			
			<u></u>	Appeal to Distric
V. ORIGIN (Place	an "X" in One Box Only)		Transferred from 6 Multid	Judge from
St 1 Original 17 2 F	temoved from 3 Remanded from	→ Keoneπen	another district Litigati	on Judgment
Proceeding S	tate Court Appellate Cou	shows are filing (Do not site invite		
	Cita the U.S. Civil Statute under white 28 USC 1331 and 1332	cu Aori ate timing (no not the late		·
VI. CAUSE OF ACT				
	Con	version and Embezzlemer	OCU, COL GUO CHECK YES OF	nly if demanded in complaint:
VII. REQUESTED II	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS	ACTION DEMAND \$4.3,0	JURY DEMAN	D: Ves O No
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P. 23		VVIII DUMINI	
VIII. RELATED CA	SE(S)		DOCKET NUMBER	08-1789 (BRL)
IF ANY	(See instructions): JUDGE	Burton R. Lifland	DOCKET NUMBER	00-1700 (DIXE)
	SIGNATU	RE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD		
DATE	Inclosed	attachments demonstrate	es Plaintiffs' relationship to	o docket # 08-1789 (BRL
04/19/2008	III Closed			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	<del></del>			
				JUDGE

2010 JUNI 17 PH 3: 01 1 Lamar Ellis et al. (In Fundati Paragranis) 1372 Fernlake Avenue 2 Brea, CA 92821 (909) 623-2247 3 4 5 6 7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT** 8 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 LAMAR ELLIS (In Formal DR LAMAR ELLIS CHARITABLE REMAINDER TRUST 12 LAMAR ELLIS' TRUST LAMAR ELLIS REVOCABLE TRUST 13 LAMELLI LTD PARTNERSHIP AND **ENERGETIC PSYCHOANALYTIC** 14 INSTITUTE AND TRAINING SCHOOL INC. **PLAINTIFFS** 15 10 CV 1 295 H 16 -V-17 . 18 BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT SECURITIES LLC, 19 PIONEER INVESTMENT FIRM AMSOUTH BANK 20 REGIONS BANK DEPOSIT GUARANTY NATIONAL BANK 21 UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION 22 THADARINE MCINTOSH MOODY RATING AGENCY 23 FITCH RATING LTD STANDARD AND POOR'S RATING AGENCY 24 DEFENDANTS 25 COMPLAINT FOR CONVERSION AND EMBEZZELMENT 26 27

Plaintiffs allege:

- Lamar Ellis is a resident of the State of California and the trustor of Dr. Lamar Ellis Charitable Remainder Trust who holds tax credits, tax shelters, R&D LTD. Partnership units, AKA (negative K-1) units and 144 A securities, all of which are associated directly or indirectly with the Plaintiff Dr. Lamar Ellis Charitable Remainder Trust and to each is owed an obligation and for each the rights are hereby assigned to him for prosecution of this action.
- Energetic Psychoanalytic Institute was a tax exempt non- profit organization until 1978 then duly 2. organized under the laws of the State of California, with Lamar Ellis as the President of the same. The Institute was reorganized in 1979 under the laws of the State of Mississippi for medical research purposes and the production of durable medical equipment/pharmaceutical manufacturing through Ellis Pharmaceutical Manufacturing Company Inc. of Jackson Mississippi.
- Lamelli Ltd. Partnership is a partnership organized under the laws of the State of Mississippi, of which 3. Lamar Ellis was a general partner, first in 1992 through 1999. Then reorganized under the laws of the State of Mississippi in 2010.
- At all times, herein the remaining named Plaintiffs were created under the laws of the United States and had a vested interest in the financial instruments which have given rise to this cause of action.
- 5. THADARINE MCINTOSH, a US citizen who resides in the state of California and who has claimed a vested interest in the Plaintiffs' financial instruments. In 2004 it was discovered by the Plaintiffs that Ms. McIntosh was actively trying to sell some of the Plaintiffs' R&D tax credits without the Plaintiffs' permission. It is believed that the Defendant used some of these credits to reduce Defendant's state and federal tax liabilities on earned income.
- PIONEER INVESTMENT FIRM was a company authorized to do and doing business in the United States 6. with the purpose of advising and or investing in various companies throughout the United States in 2005.
- DEPOSIT GUARANTY NATIONAL BANK is a financial institution organized under the laws of the United 7. States and the State of Mississippi and was doing business in the United States with the responsibility of receiving and safekeeping funds and other securities for the benefit of its customers in 1995.
- AMSOUTH BANK is a financial institution organized under the laws of the United States and was doing business in the United States with the responsibility of receiving and safekeeping funds and other securities for the benefit of its customers, is a financial institution organized under the laws of the United States and is situated in

Birmingham, Alabama. AMSOUTH based upon information and belief purchased Deposit Guaranty National Bank in 2000.

- 9. REGIONS BANK is a financial institution organized under the laws of the United States and was doing business in the United States with the responsibility of receiving and safekeeping funds and other securities for the benefit of its customers and purchased AMSOUTH and its assets.
- 10. UNITED STATES SECURITES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION is an agency of the United States with the primary purpose of overseeing and regulating securities and other financial instruments and based upon information apparently approved the trading of assets owned or in which the Plaintiffs maintained an interest without the Plaintiffs' permission.
- 11. BERNARD L. MADOFF Investment Securities, LLC was an investment firm operating out of New York and other portions of the United State and apparently had possession of assets at the time of the seizure associated with the investigation of Bernard Madoff.
  - 12. MOODY RATING AGENCY- Plaintiffs believes it rated their securities without their permission.
  - 13. FITCH RATING AGENCY- Plaintiffs believes it rated their securities without their permission.
- 14. STANDARD AND POORS RATING AGENCY- Plaintiffs believes it rated their securities without their permission.

#### JURISDICTION

15. This court has both personal and subject matter jurisdiction over the parties based upon federal question jurisdiction and diversity pursuant to 28 USC1331 and 1332. The parties satisfy both the diversity requirement and exceed the jurisdictional amount necessary to invoke the jurisdiction of this court.

#### **FACTS**

- 16. Lamar Ellis is the trustor of the Dr. Lamar Ellis Charitable Remainder Trust. This trust was created under the laws of the State of Mississippi and was created for the purpose of implementing health care alternative for the State of Mississippi and had an interest in the security interests giving rise to this cause of action
- 17. Dr. Lamar Ellis Charitable Remainder Trust created certain types of 144 A securities and \$13,000,000,000 worth of Bearer Certificates of Deposit Debt Obligations underwritten by 18,500 t/c units and deposited the same with Deposit Guaranty National Bank with the written understanding that their only duty was to hold these instruments and safe keep them until and as further directed.

- In an attempt to liquidate the assets on deposit with Deposit Guaranty National Bank, those that the Mississippi Secretary of State through the Mississippi Security Division had granted permission to issue a 144A type Tax exempt Coupon/Bearer Bond in the amount of \$400,000,000.00, with another \$370,000,000 in tax credits which had been placed with/Deposit Guaranty National Bank in 1995, for safe keeping only until further notification was given.
- 19. Plaintiff Lamar Ellis on behalf of Energetic Inc. signed an agreement with Deposit Guaranty
  National Bank in 1995, that he would have no voice in the management of the bonds issued, and that the bank's
  Trustee's duties were to protect the bond owner (s) from financial harm while in (DGNB) safe keeping.
- 20. It is believed that sometime in the year 2000, defendant AmSouth acquired Deposit Guaranty and thereafter an account was set up at AmSouth Investment Services. The financial instruments were assigned a Cusip number and a Symbol unknown at this time at the direction of AmSouth. On or about May 26 2001 AmSouth announced a merger with Regions Bank. As a result, efforts were made to negotiate liquidation of newly acquired tax credits.
- 21. Identity theft reports were made on October 24, 2003, reports were made to the Internal Revenue Service, the U. S. Treasury, the Securities and Exchange Commission and the FBI along with other federal, State and Local Agencies. Efforts to obtain assistance have been futile even though the Plaintiff and the entities named herein are clearly entitled to the same. At all times herein, the Securities and Exchange Commission knew or should have known that the instruments which are the subject of the cause of action was either the property of or under the ownership of named plaintiffs.
- Thereafter, efforts continued in the negotiation with companies in an effort to partner with them to liquidate the assets. As a result of the negotiations, the entities requested copies of the documents deposited with Deposit Guaranty National Bank and AmSouth Bank.
- 23. Defendant AmSouth, Pioneer Investment Firm and Deposit Guaranty refused and fail to deliver the documents and cause the assets to be converted into financial securities instruments which were traded on the trading medium NASDAQ, all with the knowledge or consent of the Defendants..
- 24. As a result of being unable to produce the documents entrusted to defendants, negotiations ended resulting in a loss of billions of dollars
  - 25. It was later discovered that AmSouth, Bernard Madoff and Pioneer Investments were trading assets

owned by Plaintiffs' without permission

- Defendant SEC was contacted by the Plaintiffs on August 6, 2008 who advised the SEC of an alleged scheme and illegal activities by several of the Defendants. The SEC responded with a letter on August 28, 2008 stating that the SEC would investigate the complaint made by the Plaintiffs. The Plaintiffs has not received any relief as of this filing of complaint from the SEC.
- 27. In 2009, the office of Bernard Madoff was apparently raided by the FBI who contacted the Plaintiffs confirming that AmSouth and or Pioneer Investment was trading Plaintiff's Assets on NASDAQ along with a Guaranteed Tax Shelter Bearer Certificate dated September 9, 2003 and made out to Lamar Ellis Trust, TTEE in the amount of approximately \$1.3 billion dollars. These assets were in the possession of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC.
- . 28. At all times herein, the Plaintiffs were unaware of these transactions, did not authorize the same and received no benefits therefrom.
- 29. It is believed by the Plaintiffs that tax credits, tax shelters and bearer bonds in the amount of approximately 1.3 billion dollars had been compromised by the Defendants and this suspected loss was reported to the IRS via form 4684 from 2002 forward.
- 30. As a result of the negligence, unlawful and reckless conduct of the defendants the Plaintiff has lost or will lose all of the aforementioned tax credits or the equivalent in assets.
- 31. Let it be known that the Plaintiffs have filed an amended IRS form 8283 which shows a \$13,000,000,000 loss to the Plaintiffs.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore Plaintiffs pray for actual and compensatory damages in the amount of \$13,000,000,000.00 and punitive damages in the amount of \$26,000,000,000.from the named defendants, jointly and severally for attorney fees and all other proper and just relief.

Respectfully submitted,

Janear Elles

Dr. Lamar Ellis

1	LAMAR ELLIS et al PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER/MOVANT'S NAME	010 JUN 17 PM 2: 59
2		THE RESERVE OF THE SMALL
3		DEBILA
4		(4 to 10 to
5		
6		
7	7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT	COURT
9	9 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CA	LIFORNIA
10	10	0 CV 1 295 H JMA
11	11	
12	12 LAMAR ELLIS et al ) REQUE COUNS	ST FOR APPOINTMENT OF EL
13	13 vs	•
14 15	INVESTMENT SECURITIES LLC	
16 17	CONVERS	ON/EMBEZZELMENTI., request that the
18	in this motton. In su	
19	the state of the s	
20	Like diligent offer	t to obtain counsel, and
21		to represent me on terms that I can
22	22 afford.	
23	23 2. Attached to this package is:	
24		,
25	25 3. Additional Information	
26	26	•
27	27	
28	28	

### The First Cause of Action Against Some Defendants

Fraudulent Concealment re: Regarding the fact that the Plaintiffs' total securities were under a permanent SEC injunction due to the actions of Defendants.

- 1. The allegations of this complaint are based on information and beliefs are likely to have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery during the pendency of the action herein that some of the Defendants fraudulent concealment of their actions, and persuaded the SEC to issue an SEC file number and SEC accession number, that allowed the Defendants to register and publicly trade the Plaintiffs' natural securities on NASDAQ.
- 2. If after discovery during review of SEC records and the allegations are found to be true that information will be handed over to Judge Burton R. Lifland of the U.S. Bankruptcy Court Docket no. 08-178 (BRL) and the U.S. Department Of Justice.
- 3. The exception to cause number 2 is Defendant McIntosh. This Defendant discovered information as is described in the Plaintiffs' complaint against McIntosh will be given to the (IRS).
- 4. The Plaintiffs will not seek documents or records from Defendants; Deposit Guaranty Bank, AmSouth Bank, Regions Bank, Bernard L. Madoff, et al.

Lamar Ellis, et al June 30, 2010

## Under The First Cause of Action and First Sub Cause of Action Against The Defendants

### Under The First Cause of Action and First Sub Cause of Action:

- 1. General damages in an amount to be proven at time of trial.
- 2. Special damages in an amount to be proven at time of trial.
- 3. Punitive and/or exemplary damages in an amount to be proven at time of trial.
- 4. An order requiring the Defendants to identify and turn over all real properties, cash, securities, loans, contributions, and donation records to institutions, payouts of any kind to anyone, and identify all domestic and foreign bank accounts, where the Plaintiffs' assets were hypothecated or used in any manner to create a financial position for the Defendants.

### Under All Causes of Action:

- 5. For costs of suit incurred herein.
- 6. For such other and future relief as the Court deems just and proper.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on June 30, 2010 at Brea, California.

, Lamar Ellis

Lamar Ellis et al Attorney For Plaintiffs Lamar Ellis, Individual Lamar Ellis, Trust Energetic Institute 08-01789-cgm Doc 2754-1 Filed 97/12/10 Entered 07/15/10 15:02:52 Civil Coverage 1 of 8 SEC Info - Amsouth Mutual Funds - 497 - On 8/2 Sheet Pg 22 of 40 Sheet Pg 22 of 40 Help Sign In Please Sign In My Interests Search SEC Info Home

### Amsouth Mutual Funds · 497 · On 8/26/05

Filed On 8/26/05 4:21pm ET · SEC File 33-21660 · Accession Number 898432-5-737

in an "object" Search. Show Docs searched and every "hit". Find Wildcards: ? (any letter). \* (many). Logic: for Docs: & (and). | (or): for Text: | (anywhere). "(&)" (near). Help...

Filing Filer As Of

As/For/On Docs:Pgs

Issuer

8/26/05 Amsouth Mutual Funds

497

3/26/05

1:4

Definitive Material · Rule 497 **Filing Table of Contents** 

Description Document/Exhibit

Size Pages

1: 497

Definitive Material

22K



# Fax Cover Sheet

FedEx Kinko's of Milpitas Telephone: 4	408.946.2500 Fax: 408.946.2599
Date 3/10/04	Number of pages (including cover page)
To: Allentien: Name Miniman Ellis	From: Name Hadaline Mi hosh
Company would	Company
Telephone $(909)623 - 2247$	Telephone (408) 262-4157
Faxi 909 865 - 3434	
Comments 1 de hélieux me hans	finally reached an agreement
he have talked to Albernayor	(St. Tour and there and me
one Talked to my Tax Man (	Remove and talks were
uguaged to a CPA as we	Romendand talks were des the IRS in Selling
hese Credits  More than 1,200 locations worldwide. For the location nearest	you, call 1.800.254.6567. Visit our website at fedexkinkos.com.

COMPLAINT :

08-01789-cgm Doc 2754-1 Filed 07/12/10 Entered 07/15/10 15:02:52 Civil Cover Sheet Pg 24 of 40

### Confidential Report

### GUARANTEED TAX SHELTER BEARER CERTIFICATE

### Lamar Ellis Trust

Page 2 shows a certificate, dated 09/27/2003, with a face value of \$1,278,000,000, drawn to the order of the Lamar Ellis Trust.

The Cusip indicator AOPXX is provided on the certificate; the indicator is in fact the symbol for AmSouth Prime Money Market Class A shares, for which the Cusip is 032168700

AmSouth Prime Money Market Class A shares became Pioneer Cash Reserves Fund shares in September 2005. Trading medium: NASDAQ.

COMPLAINT

1,278,000,000 ONE BILLION TWO HUNDRED SEVENTY EIGHT MILLION ¥. 다음의 독립한 LAMAR ELLIS, TTEE

(909) 623 - 2247

ENERGETIC

Jackson, Mississippi 39204 (601) 969 - 9319 1516 Shierley Avenue (Formerly Everett)

09/27/03

EACH UNIT AMOUNT \$1,000,000

1278 UNITS TOTAL

ADVISOR TO ENERGETIC LISMORTH, INC.

GC15

AMSOUTH\* 380

DO NOT EXCEED \$1,278,000,000

GUARANTEED TAX SHELTER BEARER CERTIFICATE CUSIP AOPXX

PURCHASER'S SIGNATURE

218 LINCOLN AVE. . POMONA, CA 91767



U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
ATLANTA REGIONAL OFFICE
3475 Lenox Road, N.E. Suite 500
Atlanta, GA 30326-1232
404-842-7600 Direct Line
404-842-5752 Fax

August 20, 2008

Lamar Ellis 1372 Fernlake Avenue Brea, CA 92821

Re: Deposit Guaranty National Bank, AmSouth Bank, Regions Bank, Pioneer Investment

Funds

Our File No. ARO-1290755

Dear Mr. Ellis:

Thank you for your information received in this office on August 6, 2008. We apologize for the delay in responding. The information you provided will be carefully considered from the standpoint of our enforcement and regulatory responsibilities under the federal securities laws.

Although the cooperation of the public in furnishing information is very important in our work, the investigations we conduct are usually confidential. This is done to protect the integrity of an investigation from premature disclosure and to protect the personal privacy of persons with respect to whom unfounded charges may be made. Thus, subject to applicable provisions of the Freedom of Information Act, as amended, the existence or non-existence of an investigation is generally not disclosed unless and until made a matter of public record in proceedings instituted before the Commission or in the courts.

May we also point out that we are not authorized to render legal or financial advice, nor may we represent any individual in connection with the assertion of their personal claims or rights or overrule a decision made by the courts. However, you may wish, independent of this office's interest in the matter, to consult with an attorney to explore any remedies, which may be available to you in this matter.

Sincerely,

Timothy J. Barker

Senior Accountant

### BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT SECURITIES LLC

In Liquidation

### **DECEMBER 11, 2008<sup>1</sup>**

### NOTICE OF TRUSTEE'S DETERMINATION OF CLAIM

December 8, 2009

Lamar Ellis Trust 1372 Fern Lake Avenue Brea, California 92821

Dear Lamar Ellis Trust:

### PLEASE READ THIS NOTICE CAREFULLY.

The liquidation of the business of BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT SECURITIES LLC ("BLMIS") is being conducted by Irving H. Picard, Trustee under the Securities Investor Protection Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78aaa et seq. ("SIPA"), pursuant to an order entered on December 15, 2008 by the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York.

The Trustee has made the following determination regarding your claim designated as Claim No. 008118:

Based on a review of available books and records of BLMIS by the Trustee's staff, you did not have an account with BLMIS. Because you did not have an account, you are not a customer of BLMIS under SIPA as that term is defined at 15 U.S.C. § 78lll (2). Accordingly, your Claim for securities and/or a credit balance is **DENIED**.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: If you disagree with this determination and desire a hearing before Bankruptcy Judge Burton R. Lifland, you <u>MUST</u> file your written opposition, setting forth the grounds for your disagreement, referencing Bankruptcy Case No. 08-1789 (BRL) and attaching

<sup>1</sup> Section 78*lll*(7)(B) of SIPA states that the filing date is "the date on which an application for a protective decree is filed under 78eee(a)(3)," except where the debtor is the subject of a proceeding pending before a United States court "in which a receiver, trustee, or liquidator for such debtor has been appointed and such proceeding was commenced before the date on which such application was filed, the term 'filing date' means the date on which such proceeding was commenced." Section 78*lll*(7)(B). Thus, even though the Application for a protective decree was filed on December 15, 2008, the Filing Date in this action is on December 11, 2008.

copies of any documents in support of your position, with the United States Bankruptcy Court and the Trustee within THIRTY DAYS after December 8, 2009, the date on which the Trustee mailed

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE: If you do not properly and timely file a written opposition, the Trustee's determination with respect to your claim will be deemed confirmed by the Court and binding on you.

this notice.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE: If you properly and timely file a written opposition, a hearing date for this controversy will be obtained by the Trustee and you will be notified of that hearing date. Your failure to appear personally or through counsel at such hearing will result in the Trustee's determination with respect to your claim being confirmed by the Court and binding on you.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE: You must mail your opposition, if any, in accordance with the above procedure, to each of the following addresses:

Clerk of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York One Bowling Green New York, New York 10004

and

Irving H. Picard, Trustee c/o Baker & Hostetler LLP Attn: Claims Department 45 Rockefeller Plaza New York, New York 101 M

Irving H. Picard

Trustee for the Liquidation of the Business of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC December 21, 2009

Irving H. Picard, Trustee C/O Baker & Hostetler LLP Attn: Claims Department 45 Rockefeller Plaza New York, New York 10111

Re: Lamar Ellis written opposition Ref: Bankruptcy Case No: 08-1789

I, Lamar Ellis, of Lamar Ellis Trust disagree with the "Trustee" December 11, 2009 determination Re: Case No. 008118 which indicates that Lamar Ellis Trust was being denied a claim to Bernard L. Madoff investment securities because the "Trustee" could not locate an account in Lamar Ellis Trust.

My disagreement is that the Trustee appeared not to take into account that there were other ways that Madoff could have ended up with stolen assets that he could have traded. This is exactly what was found to be the case as described by several law enforcement groups, including the FBI (see enclosed list of agencies) whom have been investigating Lamar Ellis' stolen assets since September, 2003 when first reported to the Pomona, CA police department. That law enforcement group, evidently working confidentially and incognito for much of the past seven years. The Lamar Ellis Trust was notified in late 2008 the group had located some of the Lamar Ellis Trust assets in the hands of Bernard L. Madoff and that his office had traded Lamar Ellis Trust assets through NASDAQ since 2005, while Madoff was the director of NASDAQ.

The enclosed page entitled "Confidential Report Guaranteed Tax Shelter Bearer Certificate" is the damaging piece of evidence against Madoff, showing he and others were unlawfully trading Lamar Ellis Trust assets.

Lastly, the Trustee did not take into account that the assets that Madoff and others stole from Lamar Ellis Trust and through NASDAQ were U.S. Government Guaranteed Instruments under Section 1045 Tentative IRC Refund, see enclosed 1045 instructions Re: that states that the U.S. Government is responsible to Lamar Ellis Trust for a refund of those stolen assets that ended up in the hands of Madoff and are now being contested in the U.S. Tax Court with Lamar Ellis as the petitioner, Docket No: 26342-09.

Lamar Ellis 1372 Fern Lake Avenue Brea, CA 92821

\*1112

December 21, 2009

Clerk of the United States Bankruptcy Court For the Southern District of New York One Bowling Green New York, New York 10004

Re: Lamar Ellis written opposition Ref: Bankruptcy Case No: 08-1789

I, Lamar Ellis, of Lamar Ellis Trust disagree with the "Trustee" December 11, 2009 determination Re: Case No. 008118 which indicates that Lamar Ellis Trust was being denied a claim to Bernard L. Madoff investment securities because the "Trustee" could not locate an account in Lamar Ellis Trust.

My disagreement is that the Trustee appeared not to take into account that there were other ways that Madoff could have ended up with stolen assets that he could have traded. This is exactly what was found to be the case as described by several law enforcement groups, including the FBI (see enclosed list of agencies) whom have been investigating Lamar Ellis' stolen assets since September, 2003 when first reported to the Pomona, CA police department. That law enforcement group, evidently working confidentially and incognito for much of the past seven years. The Lamar Ellis Trust was notified in late 2008 the group had located some of the Lamar Ellis Trust assets in the hands of Bernard L. Madoff and that his office had traded Lamar Ellis Trust assets through NASDAQ since 2005, while Madoff was the director of NASDAQ.

The enclosed page entitled "Confidential Report Guaranteed Tax Shelter Bearer Certificate" is the damaging piece of evidence against Madoff, showing he and others were unlawfully trading Lamar Ellis Trust assets.

Lastly, the Trustee did not take into account that the assets that Madoff and others stole from Lamar Ellis Trust and through NASDAQ were U.S. Government Guaranteed Instruments under Section 1045 Tentative IRC Refund, see enclosed 1045 instructions Re: that states that the U.S. Government is responsible to Lamar Ellis Trust for a refund of those stolen assets that ended up in the hands of Madoff and are now being contested in the U.S. Tax Court with Lamar Ellis as the petitioner, Docket No: 26342-09.

Lamar Ellis 1372 Fern Lake Avenue Brea, CA 92821 08-01789-cgm Doc 2754-1 Filed 07/12/10 Entered 07/15/10 15:02:52 Civil Cover Sheet Pg 32 of 40

**VASO Investor Complaint Form** 

**NASD Investor Complaint Form** 



Ve strongly encourage investors to file their complaint via the online NASD Investor Complaint Center at ittp://complaint.nasd.com. Filing online is the quickest way for us to receive your complaint.

f you prefer to file your complaint offline, you may complete this printable complaint form and fax or mail it to us at he address noted at the end of the form. Please enter your complaint information directly into this form using your and may delay the processing of your complaint. When completed, please print

tep 1: Tell Us About the Firm or Inc	lividual You Have a Complaint	Against		
= Required Fields				
Brokerage Firm Name: *		Management of the second of th		
Deposit Guaranity National Bank, AMS	South Bank, Regions Bank, Pione	er investments F	ounds	
Salesperson or Firm Representative	e:	Last Name	a.	
First Name:	Middle Name:	Gobbons		
Mark				<del></del>
Address of the Office with which yo	ou do Business: *	and the second s	and the second	
60 State Street			A CONTRACTOR OF STREET,	
City:	State/Province:		Zip Code:	
Boston	Massachusetts	•	2109	

08-01789-cgm Doc 2754-1 Filed 07/12/10 Entered 07/15/10 15:02:52 Civil Cover Sheet Pg 33 of 40

NASD Investor Complaint Form

### Step 2: Tell Us About Yourself

\* = Required Fields

nonymous Complaint: \*

All complaint information will be treated in confidence to the fullest extent possible. NASD, however, cannot guarantee that during the course of a related investigation or possible prosecution of the matter that the source of the complaint will not become known. Unless you provide an e-mail address or telephone number, checking 'Yes' will prevent us from following up with you to gather additional details.

	* 01	No OYes-Iv	vish to make an a	nonymous c	omplaint.	
Your Name: *		and the transfer of the second se				
Prefix: First Na	ıme.		Middle Name:	i as	st Name:	
Lamar			-	EII		
<u> </u>			<u> </u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Mailing Address	*					
Street Address:						
1372 Fernlake Av	/e		·	······································		
City:			ite/Province:		Zip Code:	-
Вгеа		Ca	llifornia		92821	
Country:			<del>-</del> 1			
United States						
∜ork Phone: *	909-623		Home Phone: *	562-697		
work Phone:	909-023		nome Phone:		ne email address.	
Fax Number:	225-248-		Email Address: *			
Are you active, o	r have recently bee	n active, in th	e United States M	lilitary? * ⊙	No OYes	
Please select one	of the following ag	e ranges: *				
Under 18	0					
18 - 29	0					
30 - 39	0					
40 - 59	0					
60 - 85	•					
Over 85	0					
I do not wish to pre	ovide. O					

08-01789-cgm Doc 2754-1 Filed 07/12/10 Entered 07/15/10 15:02:52 Civil Cover Sheet Pg 34 of 40

NASD Investor Complaint Form

Correspondence to and from Firm

Step 3: Tell Us About Your Investment \* = Required Fields Security Symbol: Security Name: Security Type: \* AMSouth PRmomkt aopxx Bond - Corporate To Date: From Date: Dates of Transaction or Activity: \* 09/27/03 08/02/08 (e.g. 1/15/2003) Tell us about your complaint: What type of problem(s) are you reporting: Primary Problem: \* Secondary Problem: Lost or stolen funds/securities Unauthorized transactions Amount in dispute: \* \$ 1,278,000,000,000 Complaint Summary \* Please provide a brief summary of your complaint. Please limit your description to a few clear sentences within the space available below. If required, complete details will be gathered later in the complaint process. Assets in question were plaged into safe keeping with deposit Guaranity National Bank in 1995, it appears that (DGNB) sold itself to other Banks along with Lamar Ellis Trust "Assets". Some of which are trading via NASDAQ- without the trust knowledge or approval since 9/27/05. What documentation are you able to provide regarding your complaint? ☐ Advertising or Marketing Materials Trade Confirmation Notes of Conversations with Firm ☐ Monthly Account Statement
 ☐ Subscription Agreement □ Canceled Checks ☑ Other Anonymously info provided in April 2008

08-01789-cgm Doc 2754-1 Filed 07/12/10 Entered 07/15/10 15:02:52 Civil Cover Sheet Pg 35 of 40

G
NASD Investor Complaint Form
Step 4: What actions have you taken?
Firm Contact
Have you complained to the firm?
f yes, please enter reference number (if available), date of contact, name of contact, and status or resolution within the space available below. If required, complete details will be gathered later in the complaint process.
Naomi Willams (601)968-4627 Regions Bank (no resoultion) Nancy ARP (800)225-6292 on 06/018/08 (no resoultion) Casey Plan Pioneer investments.com on 06/17/08 (no resolution) Kelly Powers (617)422-4333 on 06/17/08 (no resoultion) Matt Curran (617)422-4333 on 06/10/08 (no resoultion)
Other Regulatory Contact
Have you contacted any other regulators?
Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC)
☐ State Regulator. Please list states:
Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC)
☐ New York Stock Exchange (NYSE)
Securities Investor Protection Corporation (SIPC)
Others U.S. Treasury dept. in 2003
Arbitration
Have you filed an arbitration claim?
□ New York Stock Exchange
American Arbitration Association
☐ American Stock Exchange
☑ Others U.S. Treasury dept. in 2003
yes, please enter reference number (if available), date of contact, name of contact, and status or resolution within the space vailable below. If required, complete details will be gathered later in the complaint process.
Date of contact: w/san bernardino CA (IRS) office on 09/27/03 as a walk-in

08-01789-cgm Doc 2754-1 Filed 07/12/10 Entered 07/15/10 15:02:52 Civil Cover Sheet Pg 36 of 40

NASD Investor Complaint Form

### Legal Action Taken

Have you taken legal action? • Yes ONo

If yes, please enter reference number (if available), date of contact, name of contact, and status or resolution within the space available below. If required, complete details will be gathered later in the complaint process.

A Law suit against (60) or more (DOES) pertaining to these missing assets, were filed with Superior Court of the state of California for the County of Los Angeles, East district, East Pomona Court house in December 2003

#### Step 5: Send This Completed Form to NASD

Please print the completed form and mail or fax it to:

NASD Investor Complaint Center 1735 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006-1506 Fax: (866) 397-3290

Please do not send duplicate copies of your complaint by mail or fax if you have submitted your complaint online. Sending multiple copies will only delay the time it takes us to respond to your complaint.

In the meantime, we encourage you to read our Complaint Program brochure on our Web site at <a href="http://www.nasd.com/complaint/InvestorBrochure">http://www.nasd.com/complaint/InvestorBrochure</a> to learn about how we will handle your complaint and what other steps you may want to take, including arbitration and mediation, to recover any money or securities.

Please be aware that filing a complaint through the Investor Complaint Center is not the same as submitting an arbitration claim online. To start an arbitration or mediation, please visit the Arbitration & Mediation section of NASD's Web site at <a href="http://www.nasd.com/ArbitrationMediation/StartanArbitrationorMediation/index.htm">http://www.nasd.com/ArbitrationMediation/StartanArbitrationorMediation/index.htm</a>. For more information about arbitration and mediation, please visit <a href="http://www.nasd.com/ArbitrationMediation/index.htm">http://www.nasd.com/ArbitrationMediation/index.htm</a>.

## **United States District Court**

	SOUTHERN DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA
<del>Páu;</del> Bern	er Ellis et al (In Ferme PRo SE veris) vs ard L. Madoff Investment urities LLC	SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION  Case No. 10 CV1295 H JMA
Irving H. C/O Bake Attn: Clai 45 Rocke	nd Address of Defendant) Picard, Trustee er & Hostetler ms Department ifeller Plaza k, New York 10111	JUL 12 2010  U.S. C. HITCON COUNT  BY DIST OF THE PORT  O
YOU ARD serve upon PI Lamar Ells 1372 Fernlake Brea, CA 9282	AVenue	ired to file with the Clerk of this Court and
service of this	to the complaint which is herewith so s summons upon you, exclusive of the default will be taken against you for	erved upon you, within days after the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment the relief demanded in the complaint.
	W. Samuel Hamrick, Jr. CLERK	DATE
By	, Deputy Clerk	<del></del>

Summons in a Civil Action

## NOTICE OF LAWSUIT AND REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS

as	(B)	of (C)	
for the	A lawsuit has been commenced ag y of the complaint is attached to this c(D) as been assigned docket number (E	notice. It has been filed in Southern District of C	the United States District Cour California

This is not a formal summons or notification from the court, but rather my request that you sign and return the enclosed waiver of service in order to save the cost of serving you with a judicial summons and an additional copy of the complaint. The cost of service will be avoided if I receive a signed copy of the waiver within (F) 30 days after the date designated below a the date on which this Notice and Request is sent. I enclose a stamped and addressed envelope (or other means of cost-free return) for your use. An extra copy of the waiver is also attached for your records.

If you comply with this request and return the signed waiver, it will be filed with the court and no summons will be served on you. The action will then proceed as if you had been served on the date the waiver is filed, except that you will not be obligated to answer the complaint before 60 days from the date designated below as the date on which this notice is sent (or before 90 days from the date is you address is not in any judicial district of the United States).

If you do not return the signed waiver within the time indicated, I will take appropriate steps to effect formal service in a manner authorized by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and will then, to the extent authorized by those Rules, ask the court to require you (or the party on whose behalf you are addressed) to pay the full costs of such service. In that connection, please read the statement concerning the duty of parties to waive the service of the summons, which is set forth at the foot of the waiver form.

I affirm that this request is being sent to you on behalf of the plaintiff this 30 day of June 2010

[Januare Luis 7/1/10]

Signature of Plaintiff's Attorney or Unrepresented Plaintiff

- A Name of individual defendant (or name of officer or agent of corporate defendant)
- B Title or other relationship of individual to corporate defendant

(A) Bernard L. Madoff, et al..

TO:

- C Name of corporate defendant, if any
- D District
- E Docket number of action
- F Addressee must be given at least 30 days (60 days if located in foreign country) in which to return waiver

08-01789-cgm Doc 2754-1 Filed 07/12/10 Entered 07/15/10 15:02:52 Civil Cover Sheet Pg 39 of 40

### WAIVER OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS

TO: Lamar Ellis et al (In Forma-Pauper (NAME OF PLAINTIFF'S ATTO	is) <i>PRO-S</i> DRNEY OR UNI	REPRESENTED PLAINTI	FF)
I, acknowledge receipt of your reques			
Lamar Ellis et al (In F <del>orma Pauperi</del> s) PRo .SE	, which is	s case number */	OCV12954 TMA
in the United States District Court for the		Southern	District of
California action, two copies of this instrument, and a without cost to me.	. I have a neans by v	lso received a cop which I can return	y of the complaint in the the signed waiver to you
I agree to save the cost of service of a summons and an additional copy of the complaint in this lawsuit by not requiring that I (or the entity on whose behalf I an acting) be served with judicial process in the manner provided by Rule 4.			
I (or the entity on whose behalf I an act or to the jurisdiction or venue of the court ex or in the service of the summons.	ing) will ret scept for ob	ain all defenses or ojections based on	objections to the lawsuit a defect in the summons
I understand that a judgment may be acting) if an answer or motion under Rule 12	entered aga ! is not serv	ninst me (or the par red upon you with	rty on whose behalf I am in 60 days after
6/30/10 , or within 90 d (DATE REQUEST WAS SENT) United States.	ays after th	at date if the reque	est was sent outside the
(DATE)		(SIGNA	•
	Printed/Typed	<sub>Name:</sub> Bernard L. Ma	adoff et al
	As (TI	rle)	of (CORPORATE DEFENDANT)
TO		Samina of Summone	

**Duty to Avoid Unnecessary Costs of Service of Summons** 

Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires certain parties to cooperate in saving unnecessary costs of service of the summons and complaint. A defendant located in the United States who, after being notified of an action and asked by a plaintiff located in the United States to waive service of a summons, fails to do so will be required to bear the cost of such service unless good cause be shown for its failure to sign and return the waiver. It is not good cause for a failure to waive service that a party believes that the complaint is unfounded, or that the action has been brought in an improper place or in a court that lacks jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action or over its person or property. A party who waives service of the summons retains all defenses and objections (except any relating to the summons or to the service of the summons), and may later object to the jurisdiction of the court or to the place where the action had been brought.

A defendant who waives service must within the time specified on the waiver form serve on the plaintiff's attorney (or unrepresented plaintiff) a response to the complaint and must also file a signed copy of the response with the court. If the answer or motion is not served within this time, a default judgment may be taken against that defendant. By waiving service, a defendant is allowed more time to answer than if the summons had been actually served when the request for waiver of service was received.